

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/073,881	05/06/1998	MAHENDRA S. RAO	T4903.CIP	1335
75	90 03/11/2003			
	EY LICATA, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN ST		HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON		
MARLTON, N.	J 08053		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/073,881

Applicant(s)

Rao et al

Examiner

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1647



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply		_	·		
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) A ne application to become	MONTHS fro TO ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 30, 20</u>	002		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims			:		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 15, 17, and 18			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		·- <u>-</u>	is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 1, 15, 17, and 18					
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	or b)[\sqsupset objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held	d in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	ion.	-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			·		
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	l in App	lication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	-		
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	-				
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) 🗀	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C	J. \$\$ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	omarv (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)		
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			t Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/073881 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/30/02 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed 12/30/02 has been entered.
- 3. The rejection of claims 9 & 16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the recitation of "dorsalizing agent" is withdrawn due to the cancellation of these claims.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 9-13, 15 and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement is withdrawn due to the cancellation of the claims, or because of Applicants' arguments. However, Applicants' comments on page 7 of the response necessitate a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as indicated below.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/073881

Art Unit: 1647

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/30/02 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1, 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preambles of the claims recite "a method for generating mammalian neural crest stem cells". However, "inducing the NEP cells to differentiate" in step (c), as argued on page 7 of the response, alternatively results in differentiation of these neural crest stem cells, and therefore, no more generation of NEP cells, which is contradictory to that recited in the preamble; thereby, making the claims ambiguous.

8. Claims 1, 15 & 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,589,376), and by Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,824,489), for the reasons made of record in Paper Nos: 11 (mailed 10/03/00) and 21 (mailed 7/8/02), and as follows.

Application/Control Number: 09/073881 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

Applicants argue on pages 9-10 of the response that "[s]ince the Anderson patent[s] do not teach the steps for obtaining and harvesting neuroepithelial stem cells, the patents cannot anticipate the invention as now claimed". In contrast to Applicants' assertions, the instant claims themselves recite no step for "inducing the cells to generate neural crest stem cells". In fact, the NEP cells are already present in Anderson's tissue, similar to that as in steps (i), (ii) and (iii) of the claimed methods. Therefore, the Anderson patents teach all recited limitations of the claims.

In summary, both Anderson patents teach in their Examples 1-3 a method for obtaining neural crest stem cells derived from the neural tube from a mammalian/rat embryo (i.e., after closure of the neural tube), dissociating the cells, trypsinizing the cells, and plating the cells in culture medium comprising EGF, bFGF and NGF and chick embryo extract (CEE) (e..g, col. 12 of '376; col. 15 of '489) on fibronectin coated plates. Column 8, line 66- column 9 of '376 describes using fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) (i.e., antibody capture) using p75/LNGFR antibodies (i.e., as it relates to claims 17-18), as does column 10, line 34-column 11, and column 16, line 63- column 17 of '489.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1647

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

March 3, 2003

Day J. Kmz

LENGTH OF CONTRACTOR FOR THE